

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,773	02/15/2001	Joseph D. Udy		2351
7	590 09/20/2002			
Joseph D. Udy			EXAMINER	
Apt. # 362 4466 S. Helena			DOAN, JE	NNIFER
Aurora, CO 8	0013-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2874	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

			11			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/784,773	UDY, JOSEPH D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jennifer Doan	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become well as the application to be application.	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	Nugust 2002 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-11</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>5-11</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		the Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	- · ·					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		,,				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		1). 、			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has	peen received.	. /			
Attachment(s)		·· 00 ······ ···				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's communication filed on August 27, 2002 has been carefully studied by the Examiner. The arguments advanced therein are not persuasive. However, there is a part of the previous rejection missing. Therefore, the final rejection is withdrawn. A new rejection based on Johnson patent is thus applied. This action is **not** made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall
- 2. Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to set forth the best mode of the invention. The claims are all single means claims. A single means claim, where a means recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element or means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. *In re Hyatt*, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A single means claim which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor.). See MPEP 2164.08 (a).

Application/Control Number: 09/784,773

Art Unit: 2874

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 5-11 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson (U.S. Patent 5,673,139).

Regarding claim 5, Johnson discloses, Figs. 1 and 2, means to create submillimeter images switches (Figs. 19a and 19b, abstract and column 9, lines 20-37).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, the switches are based on laser diode arrays (column

Regarding claim 6, see Figs. 1 and 2 for MEMS switches.

4, lines 5-39).

Regarding claim 9, the switches are based on liquid crystal devices (84, 86, 88, 90 Fig. 5).

Regarding claim 10, submillimeter alphanumeric image switches (abstract and figures 14-18).

Regarding claim 11, the submillimeter image switches including photonic devices (columns 9 and 10).

Applicant's arguments filed on August 27, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner does not agree with the applicant's arguments that the prior art fails to disclose "Submillimeter Image Switches". Indeed, the Johnson

Application/Control Number: 09/784,773

Art Unit: 2874

reference (as cited above) explicitly discloses a submillimeter image switching device in

the abstract, lines 3-4, Figs. 19a and 19b.

Conclusion

5. The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information

Disclosure Statement filed on August 27, 2002, have already been considered by the

examiner in the previous rejection (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

6. Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be

directed to Examiner Jennifer Doan whose telephone number is (703) 308-6179. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30 am to 4:00pm,

second Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rodney Bovernick, can be reached on (703) 308-4819. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

JD

JD

September 12, 2002

John D. Jec John D. Use Primary Examinar Page 4